

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA**

**THOMAS DAVID YOUNG,
Administrator of the Estate of THOMAS
ART THREADGILL and THOMAS
DAVID YOUNG, Individually,
Plaintiffs**

v.

**UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, ET AL.,
Defendants**

**CIVIL ACTION
NO. 01-5484**

CONSOLIDATED CASES

**THOMAS DAVID YOUNG,
Administrator of the Estate of THOMAS
ART THREADGILL and THOMAS
DAVID YOUNG, Individually,
Plaintiffs**

v.

**MANAGEMENT AND TRAINING
CORPORATION,
Defendant**

**CIVIL ACTION
NO. 02-3611**

ORDER

AND NOW, this 16th day of April, 2003, upon consideration of Defendant Management and Training Corporation's Motion for Summary Judgment, and Plaintiff's response thereto, it is hereby **ORDERED** that counsel for Plaintiff and counsel for Defendant Management and Training Corporation shall, within seven (7) days of the date of this Order, submit to the Court a memorandum of law (not to exceed ten (10) double-spaced pages) addressing whether, in light of Pastierik v. Duquesne Light Co., 526 A.2d 323 (Pa. 1987) and its progeny, the discovery rule may serve as a basis for tolling the statute of limitations for Plaintiff's cause of action against

Management and Training Corporation.

It is so **ORDERED**.

BY THE COURT:

CYNTHIA M. RUFÉ, J.